Law Office of Robert T. Bean 3033 Brighton 3rd Street Brooklyn, New York 11235 718-616-1414

Cell Phone: 484-838-9464

Fax: 718-368-0981

Of Counsel for Tanya Gendelman, P. C.

E-Mail: RBeanlaw@aol.com

7-21-16

ARLENE R. LINDSAY

U.S. Magistrate Judge United States District Court 100 Federal Plaza P.O. Box 9014 Central Islip, New York 11722

Letter Motion to Compel Discovery

Re: Carroll v. Krumpter et al Docket No.: CV 15 - 01550 (ADS) (ARL)

Dear Magistrate Lindsay,

Plaintiff, by this Letter Motion, pursuant to your Rule 2. A. 1, moves under F.R.Civ.P. 37 to compel discovery. On April 4, 2015 Plaintiff provided his Initial Disclosures to Defendants pursuant to F. R. Civ. P 26 (A)(1). No Initial Disclosures were produced by Defendants. On December 28, 2015 Plaintiff served upon counsel of record for the Defendants his Request for Production of Documents annexed hereto as Exhibit 1. No response was provided by Defendants. On February 17, 2016 counsel for Plaintiff sent the annexed "Good Faith" letter to counsel for Defendants. (Ex. 2). Since that time counsel for the Plaintiff has conferred once in person and twice by telephone with counsel for defendants, Diane Petillo. In both telephone conferences Ms. Petillo represented that responses would be forth coming in due course. So far Defendants have failed to Respond or Answer Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents.

Plaintiffs Request concerns documents relevant to the confiscation of Plaintiff's firearms. The requested documents are also relevant to the county's gun confiscation policy in "domestic incidents" as applied and its compliance with *Razzano v. County of Nassau*, 765 F.Supp.2d. 176, E.D.N.Y. (2011) in providing prompt post deprivation hearings before an impartial decision maker. The requested documents are also relevant to Plaintiff's *Monell* claim in its over all application county wide over time. While a policy, as written, such as OPS 10023 (D.I. 7, Ex. 2) may be constitutional as written it may be shown to be unconstitutional as applied and also shown to be adopted and applied for an unconstitutional purpose. *Daskalea v D.C.*, 227 F.3d 433 (D.C. Cir. 2000); Marriott v. County of Montgomery, 426 F. Supp.2d 1 (N.D.N.Y. 2006). As stated in County of Montgomery, "constitutional words cannot erase unconstitutional conduct".

Case 2:15-cv-01550-ADS-ARL Document 17 Filed 07/20/16 Page 2 of 10 PageID #: 171

Further, this unconstitutional application and purpose must be linked to a policy maker. *Monell v. Dept of Social Services of the City of New York, 436 U.S. 658 (1978)*.

The requested documents are relevant and discoverable. Plaintiff requests the Court to order the Defendants to produce the requested documents.

Sincerely

Robert T. Bean

Via E-Mail and ECF

Carnell T. Foskey Nassau County Attorney Attn. Diane Petillo Deputy Nassau County Attorney One West Street Mineola, New York 11566

EXHIBIT 1

Law Office of Robert T. Bean 3033 Brighton 3rd Street Brooklyn, New York 11235 718-616-1414

Cell Phone: 484-838-9464 E-Mail: RBeanlaw@aol.com Fax: 718-368-0981

loom

Of Counsel for Tanya Gendelman, P. C.

12-28-15

Carnell T. Foskey
Nassau County Attorney
Attn. Diane C. Petillo
Deputy Nassau County Attorney
One West Street
Mineola, New York 11566

dpetillo@nassaucountyny.gov

Via First Class Mail And e-mail

Re: Carroll v. Krumpter et al

Docket No.: CV 15-CV-1550 (ADS) (ARL)

Dear Ms. Petillo,

Please find enclosed Plaintiff's First Request for Documents. If any of the information and documents requested are electronically stored so as to make paper production impractical, please give me a call so we can determine a procedure to be used regarding production.

Sincerely

Robert T. Bean

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANDREW CARROLL,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

-against-

THOMAS C. KRUMPTER, Acting Police Commissioner, Nassau County, New York

STEVEN E. SKRYNECKI, Chief of Department, Nassau County Police Department,

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

DANIEL P. FLANAGAN, Commanding Officer, First Precinct, Nassau County Police Department,

Officer BUDINILIC, Badge No. 3051, First Precinct, Nassau County Police Department

JOHN DOE, Sargent First Precinct, Nassau Police Department,

NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT,

and

COUNTY OF NASSAU

Jury Trial Demanded

Defendants

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, Plaintiff ANDREW CARROLL, by and through the undersigned attorneys, the Law Office of Robert T. Bean, hereby request that the documents described below be produced by Defendants, for inspection and copying at the offices of Robert T. Bean, 3033 Brighton 3rd Street, Brooklyn, New York 11235, on or before thirty (30) days after service hereof. The requests are to be responded to in accordance with the following Definitions.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Definitions:

- 1. "Incident in question" is the confiscation of the firearms of Plaintiff Andrew Carroll on September 30, 2014.
- 2. "Prompt Post Deprivation Hearing" means that hearing set forth in *Razzano v*. County of Nassau, No. 07-cv-3983, reported 765 F. Supp. 2d. 176 (Feb. 28, 2011).
- 3. "Nassau County" includes all defendants herein and any employee, agent, official, officer or contractor acting for or on behalf of Nassau County or the Nassau County Police Department.

Documents Requested:

- 1. The transcript and/or the recording of the 911 phone call made by Andrew Carroll on September 30, 2014.
- 2. Transcripts of all communications between the 911 operator and Dispatch regarding the incident in question.
- 3. Transcripts and/or recordings of all electronic, e-mail, text or radio communications between Officer Budinlic, badge number 3051 and/or other responding officers and Nassau County Police Department Dispatch prior to and after his visit to Plaintiff's home.
- 4. Transcripts, recordings or copies of all orders written or electronic given to any responding officers regarding the incident in question.
- 5. All written or electronic reports or documents prepared or produced by any responding officer regarding the incident in question.
- 6. All written or electronic reports or documents prepared or produced by DANIEL P. FLANAGAN, Commanding Officer, First Precinct, regarding the incident in question.

- 7. All written or electronic reports or documents obtained, reviewed or produced by Capt. Gisondi, the Domestic Incident Liaison Officer of the First Precinct, regarding the incident in question.
- 8. All written or electronic reports or documents, legal memoranda, meeting transcripts, regarding bringing the firearms confiscation policies of the Nassau County Police Department in compliance with the Court's Decision in *Razzano v. County of Nassau*, No. 07-cv-3983, reported 765 F. Supp. 2d. 176 (Feb. 28, 2011).
- 9. All written or electronic documents formulating a prompt post deprivation hearing procedure by Nassau County in compliance with *Razzano v. County of Nassau*, No. 07-cv-3983, reported 765 F. Supp. 2d. 176 (Feb. 28, 2011).
- 10. Copies of all request for a post deprivation hearing received from plaintiff or his attorney.
- 11. Copies of all Notices sent to Plaintiff by Nassau County regarding any prompt post deprivation hearing following the incident in question.
- 12. Transcript of any post deprivation hearing conducted following the incident in question.
- 13. A copy of the decision following the prompt post deprivation hearing regarding the incident in question.
- 14. Copies of all training or instruction material furnished to instruct and/or educate Officers of the Nassau County Police Department regarding the decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States in *District of Columbia v. Heller* 554 U.S. 570, 128 S. Ct. 2783 (2008) and *McDonald v. City of Chicago* 561 U.S. 742, 130 S. Ct. 3020 (2010) and the decision of the United States District Court, Eastern District of New York in the *Razzano* case.
- 15. All documents, legal memoranda or opinions prepared by the Nassau County Attorney's Office with regard to the requirement to instruct Officers of the Nassau County Police Department regarding the decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States in *Heller* and *McDonald* and the decision of the United States District Court, Eastern District of New York in the *Razzano* case with regard to firearm confiscations.
- 16. Copies of all instructor's notes to regarding any training or education provided to Officers of the Nassau County Police Department regarding the decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States in *District of Columbia v. Heller* 554 U.S. 570, 128 S. Ct. 2783 (2008) and *McDonald v. City of Chicago* 561 U.S. 742, 130 S. Ct. 3020 (2010) and

the decision of the United States District Court, Eastern District of New York in the Razzano case.

- 17. Copies of any receipts issued at the time of or following the confiscation of Plaintiff's firearms.
- 18. Copies of all compensations paid by Nassau County to the Plaintiff for the firearms which were taken by Nassau County.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York December 28, 2015

> Robert T. Bean, Esq. (RB4464) Law Office of Robert T. Bean

3033 Brighton 3rd Street Brooklyn, New York 11235

(718) 616-1414

e-mail: RBeanlaw@aol.com

To:

Via First Class Mail And E-Mail

Carnell T. Foskey, Nassau County Attorney
Attorney for Defendants
Attn: Diane C. Petillo
Deputy Nassau County Attorney
One West Street
Mineola, New York 11566
dpetillo@nassaucountyny.gov

EXHIBIT 2

Law Office of Robert T. Bean 3033 Brighton 3rd Street Brooklyn, New York 11235 718-616-1414

Cell Phone: 484-838-9464 E-Mail: RBeanlaw@aol.com Fax: 718-368-0981

Of Counsel for Tanya Gendelman, P. C.

2-17-16

Carnell T. Foskey Nassau County Attorney Attn. Diane Petillo Deputy Nassau County Attorney One West Street Mineola, New York 11501

GOOD FAITH LETTER

Re: Carroll v. Krumpter et al Docket No.: 15-cv- 1550 (ADS) (ARL)

Dear Ms. Petillo,

Plaintiff served our Request for Production of documents on the Defendants on December 28, 2015 by e-mail and first class mail. More than 30 days has elapsed since service of these request upon you and we have received no response. Please call and confer to see if this matter can be resolved without the necessity of motion practice.

Also Magistrate Lindsey has filed a proposed scheduling order. I can agree to the order. Can you agree to this order or do you require changes?

1

Robert T. Bean